G. R. S. 59] GPB—15,000—20.1.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1893-4
~~191~~.
(Murrai)

File No. 241

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

*Month*_____

SUBJECT.

Rejecting the claim of Janer Begum (Grand daughter of late Gulam Ali) to the Fatiha allowance payable on the tomb of Meer Gulam Ali at Arcot.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.

Mary F 176 of 1892-3

LATER REFERENCES

Mary F 242 - 1893-4
191 - 1894-5
192 - " -

(44)

22-1-94
P & R 2

(General Serial No. 30.)

Cl. 1113-8 6

1893.94.

Sir

Reference submitted on 20th December 1893 with P & R File No 11 of 1893
CS
29/1/93

From Jauher Begum, daughter of the late Gulam Ali Musay Raza Khoreshi, a Mysore State Pensioner,

To The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated } Not dated.
Despatched }
Received 19-1-94.

Enclosures No.

General No. —
No.

Praying for a pension in consideration of her relationship to the late Gulam Ali Musay Raza Khoreshi, a Mysore State Pensioner.

P. J. C.

References to be marked.

22-1-94
P+A Dr
69

(44)

To

The Chief Secretary
Mysore Durbar office
Residency Department
Bangalore

The humble petition of Janee Bégum grand daughter of the deceased Gulam Ali Durvay Raza Khurishi a Mysore State pensioner drawing a monthly pension of Rs 61—9—0

Respectfully Showeth,

That petitioner is closely attach to His Excellency ~~the late~~ the late Sheer Sude Gulam Ali in the Service of Hyder Ali and Sippu Sultan Bahadur

That in recognition of the Service rendered by the aforesaid Sheer Sudes for the Welfare of the Mysore Government the latter were pleased to confer ample allowances for the support of himself and other members his family as described below

That the said Ghulam Ali Sheer Sudar had left behind him 2 Sons viz Haji Shahideen Sahib Korashi, Haji Ghulam Rasool Korashi who received their respective Shar in the monthly pension of Rs 1000 enjoyed by the deceased

That the eldest Son named Ale

x x 4 Sons of Ghulam Ali Dowsay Razvi Sahib
Kerishe Haje Mohommed Selegathulla Kureshi
Haje Mohommed Seyed Sahib Kureshi Mohom
-med 3rd me Ghulla Sahib Kureshi and one
daughter Fathima Begum by the 1st wife
and by 2nd wife one daughter named
Jafsha Be She in turn died to enumerated
above and there hereto after their death
have been provided with pensions

That subsequent to the demise
of the eldest son Ghulam Ali Dowsay Razvi
Sahib Kureshi the Government of Mysore
were pleased to continue in the name of his
wife Aleuee Begum (Petitioners own grand
mother by mother side) a pension of Rs 9—10—3
and in the name of his daughter Khatuna Begum
(Petitioners own mother) a pension of Rs 9—10—3
and in the name of his grand son Mohommed
Zeareedin Sahib Syed (Petitioners own brother)
Rs 23 monthly

That after the death of
Fathima Begum (Petitioners own paternal
grand mother) the same Foreign Government
were pleased to grant in the name of her
Hareed Hoosain Sahib Syed Rs 3—6—10
and in the name of her other son Ghulam
Mohommed Rs 3—6—10 and in the name
of the widow and daughters of the 3rd son
Ghulam Dustagir Sahib (x conjulens and her
daughters of the above Dustagir Sahib Syed)
Rs 3—6—10 Thus it is evident that petitioners

x Petitioners own father

sions of either her maternal grand father Ghulam Ali Dewan Raza Sahib Korashi or in the pension of her paternal grand mother Fathima Begum vide Proceedings of the Court of H. H. The Sarkaryah of Seypore No 27 P & A dated Bangalore 14th 22nd July 1893

That the above persons by a deceitful intention failed to mention her name in their petitions for pension to H. H Sarkaryah of Seypore Court Though petitioner has a just claim

That her brother Mohammed Ziauddin Sahib Syed who was receiving a pension of Rs 23 (Monthly) was reported by the Sahsildar of Arcot (the records of which may be seen in the Collector's office Near the Arcot Choultry) for bad Services, it seems that he has said before the Sahsildar that he spends Rs 13 monthly for the tomb Service and Rs 10 remaining for his maintenance and his Service Pension was stopped Since last February 1893 for not giving Sufficient proof on the subject.

Under Circumstances the petition has been left in a perfect dilemma as to what course she must adopt to provide herself to spare her life and therefore humbly prays Your Benign Court to accord her justice on the subject.

17th January 1894.

She begs to remain
Honored Sir
Your most obed Servant
Mark of Janee Begum

Address
Janee Bégum
alias Mohomed Syed Khan Sahib
Arcot
Near the Arcot DK

15.2.94
Arch

(General Serial No. 30) 12481-79

1893 1/3. 4/4/92.

From Jane Begum
Arch.

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 12 Feb/94

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. —
No.

Claiming a share of the Rs 23/ paid to Mahomed Zeyanuddin Sahab Sayad for discharging certain duties connected with the Tomb called Mir Sudoor Jotaun ali

P. T. C.

References to be marked.

---

cases submitted to
Asst Secretary on 8th.
ultimo with file No 11 of
1893.
CS
16/2/94

16/2/94

Sir
P-L-L
Lea. Mo
16.
12-2-94

The Chief Secretary
Mysore Dewan's Office Residency
Department Bangalore

The humble petition of Jane Begam Sister of Mahomed Ziyauddeen Sahib Saiyed both of who are of the same parents; the latter of whom enjoys a pension of Rs 23 per monthly.

Respectfully Sheweth.

That Mahomed Ziyauddeen Sahib Sai was appointed by the Mysore Government on Rs 23 on condition of his discharging certain duties in connection with the Tomb called "mir Sudoor Goolam Ali" where as he has failed in the due performance there of — hence a complaint was preferred against him by the Thasildar of Arcot, To the Collector, in which the former clearly stated the descrepancies observed him in the accounts submitted.

A petition was forwarded to your Government dated 17th January 1894 in which a genealogical statement is fully given, from which it will be manifest, that petitioner ha an equal right with her brother. The same w sent to your Government sence it is connecte with Mysore.

On the above said relation ship a petition was already forwarded.

As certain Complaints having risen against petitioner's brother, regarding his neglecting the duties entrusted to him an should petitioner also be appointed

appointed (co-operatively to perform the relative duties) (for which he was appointed) there will be no complaint of any description.

The complaint made by the Thasildar of Arcot to the Collector was forwarded to Your Government.

For reasons stated above if permission is granted to the effect that petitioner should have an equal right in the performance of the duties no complaint will in future arise.

Should it be deemed best, a reference may be made to the Thasildar of Arcot, touching her claim; for the petition is now send to the Thasildar.

Petitioner has a claim in her Grand Father Goolam Ali Mossay Raza Sahib Khurashe for Rs 61-9-0 in which Rs 9-10-3 was paid to ~~the~~ petitioner's mother. A similar pension was allowed to Abbasi Begaim. after her death Rs 4-14-0 was granted to Amunthulla Begaim and to petitioner's brother Rs 23-0-0

Petitioner's paternal grand mother was in receipt of Rs 20-9-0 half of which was paid to her 3 sons. Petitioner's Father named Goolam Dustaghir Sahib Saiyed was one of the said three sons. whereas petitioner's father had a Concubine and had 2 female issues — they are now appointed for enjoyment of pension and order of Government to that effect was passed in No. 27 July 22nd 1898. in which also petitioner's claim is omitted.

Petitioner is now left without any means for her subsistence, and therefore begs that her Claim will be taken into consideration by the humane Government and order to the effect of her claim being established will be passed.

For which act of Justice and benevolence petitioner as in duty bound shall ever pray.

She begs to remain
Honoured Sir Your
Most obedient Servant

(Signed) ○ جین بیگم

Mark of Jane Begam

address

To.
Jane Begam c/o Malick Mahomed
Saiyed Khan Malick Mahamood Shah
bazzar Agent

12/2/94 Agent

5-4-94
P & A Jr

(General Serial No. 32.)

1894 (17) 98

No. 2920

Name of Petitioner. Jane Begum

Village

Taluk } Vellore

District.

Date of Arri. 12-2-94

Date of Receipt. 30-3-94

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Nil

ABSTRACT.

Begs to include her claims as well for pension.

Dewan's order

What is the case?

Sd/ W.S.
27-3-9

Jaan ff
Helps to include him
in the will for

Mysore Work Case 27-3-94

2920R
30-3-94.

H. H. The Maharajah of
Mysore. Bangalore

The humble petition of Jane Begam Sister of Mahomed Ziyauddeen Sahib Saiyed both of whom are of the same partents; the latter of whom enjoys a pension of Rs 23/ per monthly

Respectfully Sheweth.

That Mahomed Ziyauddeen Sahib Saiyed was appointed by the Mysore Government on Rs 28 On Condition of his discharging certain duties in connection with the Tomb called "Mir Sudoor Goolam Ali" where as he has failed in the due performance there of— hence a Complaint was preferred against him by the Thasildar of Arcot, To the Collector, in which the former clearly stated the descrepancies observed by him in the accounts submitted.

A petition was forwarded to Your Government dated 17th January 1894 in which a geneological statement is fully given from which it will be manifest, that petitioner has an equal right with her brother. The same was sent to Your Government since it is connected with Mysore.

On the above said relation-ship a petition was already forwarded.

As certain complaints having risen against petitioner's brother, regarding

entrusted to him and should petitioner also be appointed (Co-operatively to perform the relative duties) (for which he was appointed) there will be no complaint of any description whatever.

The Complaint made by the Thasildar of Arcot to the Collector was forwarded to your Government.

For reasons stated above if permission is granted to the effect that petitioner should have an equal right in the performance of the duties no Complaint will be future arise.

Should it be deemed best, a reference may be made to the Thasildar of Arcot, touching her claim; for the petition is now send to the Thasildar.

Petitioner has a Claim in her grand father Goolam Ali Moosay Raza Saheb Khurasane for Rs 61-9-0 in which Rs 9-10-3 was paid to petitioner's mother. A similar pension was allowed to Ahlasi Begam. after her death Rs 4-14-0 was granted to Amuthulla Begam and to petitioner's mother Rs 23-0-0.

Petitioner's paternal grand mother Fathima Begam was in receipt of Rs 23-9- half of which was paid to her 3 sons. Petitioner's Father named Goolam Dustagheer Saheb Saiyed was one of the said three sons whereas petitioner's father had a concubine and had

male issues — they are now appointed for enjoyment of pension and order of Government to that effect was passed in No. 27 July 22nd/893 in which also petitioner's claim is omitted.

Petitioner is now left without any means for her subsistence, and therefore begs that her claim will be taken into consideration by the humane Government and order to the effect of her claim be established will be passed.

For which act of justice an benevolence petitioner as in duty bound shall ever pray

She begs to remain
Honoured Sir
Your most obedient servant

(Signed) صاحب الجان بائی

mark of Jane Begam

Address

To.

Jane Begam c/o Saiid Mahomed Sayd Khan Sahib Mahamood Shah bazza Arcot

12/2/94 Arcot

28

P 9

(General Serial No. 32)

1893.4 11

No.

Name of Petitioner. Jani Begum residing at Mohomed Sahib

Village. Arcot

Taluk. Do

District. Do

Date of Arzi. Not given

Date of Receipt. 28-3-94

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. None

ABSTRACT.

States that her Mother, a Khatoona of now draws a pension of Rs 9 is in good circumstances, on lands which were given h by her father Moosaraza; lands are now sold for Rs 20 to present her inheritance, solicits reference to the Collec of Arcot for verification.

Pet A No
92

(48)

To
The Chief Secretary,
Mysore Dewan's Office,
Residency Department
Bangalore.

The humble Petition of Jani Begam, residing at Muhammad Shah Bazaar, Arcot.

Most Respectfully Sheweth

That Your Petitioner (Regd) States that her mother Khatun Begam is now drawing the subsistance allowance of Rs 9-10-3 per mensem, stating that she is very poor and she has no estate. But actually she had concealed her estates and gave a false Statement. Her father Gulam Ali Murad Raza Sahib Koichi gave 2 cawnies of wet land worth each Rs 1000 to her. He also gave 2 cocoanut topes, one house and one bungalow to her. All these are worth Rs 1500. When her sister Fatima Begam died, her share of 2 cawnies worth Rs 2000 was obtained by her, which is now sold to Sowcar Hajee Burhanuddeen Sahib. All these were obtained after

vero Jan Bhen's death. At the time she
made the statement before your Honor
that she was very poor and she had
no estate, she owned all the
properties. If your Honor wants,
I am ready to produce copies of
public records to show them. Besides,
it is not known how the book Amina
began to soon. Under the circumstances,
the pension now given for charity is
not utilized by a poor person according
to Muhammadan law, allowing the
daughter, have a right over them.
But intending to deceive me, she
sold all of them to her son Muhammad
Jan and about 10 days ago of the
sale deeds are registered. I will
produce copies of them also. I wrote a
petition to the collector about this. That
petition is referred to the Head Sabrishtadar.
After this, these lands were sold to her
son.

Therefore request that this petition
may be forwarded to the Collector for the
Head to decide the Head Sabrishtadar's report
as to when she obtained the above mentioned
lands and do justice. I beg to remain
Most Respectfully
Most obedient servant

To
Jani Begum c/o Malik
Said [illegible] Arzi

O Mark of Jani Begum.

2574/94
Pol. Dept.
106

(49)

(General Serial No. 30.)

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
15822
23 APR. 94
5498

1894.

From Jamee Begum
Grand daughter of
Gulam Ali, Hyder Jemidar

To The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 21st April 94

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.
No.

Requesting to confer
the allowance on her
and she is willing to per-
form the necessary cere-
monies to the tomb of
Meer Sudur Gulam Ali
now enjoyed by her
brother husband Fyazul
Deen Saib.

References to be marked.

M. G. C. Press, Bangalore.—3-2-94.—3000.

Arcot
21st April 1894

(49)

To
The Chief Secretary
to Mysore Dewan's office
Residency Department
Bangalore

The humble petition of Jance Begum
grand daughter of the deceased Goolam
Ali Moosay Raza Saib Khurashi, late
Mysore pensioner, and sister of Mahomed
Ziyauddeen Sahib Saiyd, most respectfully
Sheweth:—

That the petitioners' grand father Goolam
Ali Moosay Raza Saib Khurashi, was
receiving during his life time a pension of
Rs 61—9—0 (Rs 38—9—0 Subsistence allowance
and Rs 23 Fatticha allowance for performing
the necessary ceremonies for Dheer Saiba
Gulam Ali tomb), that after his death
the petitioners' own brother Mahomed Ziyauddin
Sahib Saiyd was granted the Fatticha allowance
only, viz. Rs 23 on the express condition that
he should perform the necessary ceremonies
to the above tomb and should shew account
for the actual amount spent in every Thursday
and also for yearly ceremony. And the
petitioners' brother Mahomed Ziyauddin Sahib
Saiyd having neglected and failed to fulfil

the said Conditions properly. The Tahsildar of Arcot and also the village Munsiff, inspected the above tomb on one Thursday in 1893 last and found that no ceremony was performed at all and consequently reported the matter to the Collector of North Arcot for discontinuance of the allowance. The Collector agreeing with the Tahsildars report, discontinued the allowance.

3 The petitioner's brother Mahomed Zeyeuddin Sahib Sayed had thereupon had sent in a petition and a Mahazar to the Tahsildar and the Collector respectfully respectively, stating that he had since been doing the necessary ceremonies and requesting the Tahsildar to report to the Collector in his favour. The Collector referred the Mahazar to the Tahsildar for disposal. The Tahsildar, it would appear, rejected both the petition on the ground that a report was already submitted to the Mysore government in this case.

4 The petitioner is the grand daughter of the above said Gulam Ali Moosay Raza Sahib Khurashi and also the sister of the above said Mahomed Zeyaeddin Sahib Sayed; hence she is the next and proper heir to the allowance now disallowed to the petitioners' brother. She is quite willing to fulfil the Conditions in performing the necessary ceremonies on every Thursday and also yearly, to the tomb of Peer Sudoor Gulam Ali, and do ready to shew proper account to the Higher authorities

for the actual amount
spent on that account. The petitioner is a
poor and helpless woman.

4. The petitioner put in a petition with a genealo-
-gical tree to the Collector on 19th January 94
to enquire about her claim to the allowance
and the petition was referred to the Tahsildar
for report and the Tahsildar fully enquired
the case and reported to the Collector that
the petitioner is the only proper heir to the
allowance.

5. After the petitioner's petition came for
enquiry before the Tahsildar, the petitioner's mother
Kathuma Begum, impose pensioner, with the
intention of deceiving the petitioner sold all
her property worth not less than Rs 3000, to her
son (petitioner's brother) for Rs 1500 and the latter
also had executed a document (registered) to his mother
The petitioner's brother Mahomed Zaiyuddin Sahib
Seiyd alleges in the above document executed
by him that the Rs 1500 include Rs 900 arrears
of Fatiha allowance he received for 3 years and
odd since the death of his grand father Gulam
Ali Moosay Raza Sahib Khuroshi, and
also Rs 600 of his own. Here while he himself
admit that he had paid the whole of Rs 900
received for Fatiha allowance, for burying his
mothers' property, it is very evident that he
spent no money at all for performing the
ceremonies for which only the whole money was given

This document was registered on 14-4-94 and the petitioner is ready to submit a copy of it if your honor so requires.

6 Under these circumstances the petitioner most humbly and respectfully requests that your honor will be graciously pleased to peruse the collector's report and also the genealogical tree submitted therewith, and also kindly consider how Inahomed Zeyaeddin Sahib Sayed (petitioner's brother) abused the charitable allowance paid solely for performing the ceremonies alone which fact is evident from his own statement in the document executed by him, and also the poor and helpless state of the petitioner, and her willingness and readiness to perform the necessary ceremonies at the tomb, and thus confer on her the said allowance on the same conditions. The poor petitioner will in all obedience have the necessary ceremonies performed properly and regularly by her agent viz, her husband and will show account for the amount actually spent by her on that account whenever required.

For which act of kindness and charity the petitioner will remain duty bound prayers.

عبدة البيجان بيگم

Janee Begum.

Submitted on
8th February 1894 with file
No 11 of 1893.
8/1894 Ref W 6/61 9

53

4-6 4
No 118

(General Serial No. 30.) V 17790

1893 / 1894 No 259

From Jani Begum,
A great grand daughter
of the late Ghulam Ali
Mysore Princi

To The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 1st June 1894.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 2

General No.

No.

Praying that the allow
ance for performing the
ceremonies for Meer Sudor
Gulam Alis Tomb at Arco
may be conferred on her

C. Srinivas Rao P. T. O

References to be marked.

M. G. C. Press, Bangalore.—3-2-91.—3000.

1st une 8

Arut

To.

The Chief Secretary (5?)
~~Dewan of~~ Mysore Dewan's office
Residency Dt Bangalore.

Honored Sir.

(1)

The humble petition of Jamee Begum granddaughter of the deceased Gulam Ali Honsay Raza Sahib Korashi late Mysore pensioner, and sister of Mahamod ziaeddin Sahib Sayyed.

(2) Most respectfully beg to State that the petitioner's grand father was receiving during his life time a pension of Rs 61-9-0 (38-9-0 Subsistence allowance and 23 Rs Fathia allowance for performing required ceremonies for Meer Ludrow Gulam Ali tomb), that after his death the petitioners ~~and~~ brother Mahamod ziaeddin Sahib Sayyed was granted only the Fathia allowance viz Rs 23 on the Express Condition that he should perform the necessary ceremonies to the above tomb and should show the account for the actual amount spent in every (Thursday) and also for yearly ceremony. And the petitioners ~~brother~~ performing the ceremonies alone

in the document executed by him, and
also the poor and helpless state of the
petitioner, and her willingness and
readiness in performing the necessary
ceremonies to the tomb having neglected
to fulfil the said conditions properly
the Tahsildar of Arcot and the Village
Munsiff inspected the above tomb on
Thursday in 1893 last and found that
no ceremony was performed at all
and consequently reported the matter
to the collector N. A. for discontinuing
the allowance. The collector agreeing
with the Tahsildar's report, discontinued
the allowance.

2. The petitioner brother Muhammed Fizaruddeen
had subsequently put in a petition
and a Mahazar to the Tahsildar of
Arcot and also to the Collector respectively
stating that he had since been performing
the necessary ceremonies, and requesting
the Tahsildar report to the Collector in
his favor. The collector referred the Mahazar
to the Tahsildar for disposal. The Tahsildar
rejected both the petitions on the
ground that he had already reported
to the Honorable Government on this

The petitioner is the grand daughter of the above said Gulam Ali Musai Raja Sahib Kuraishi and also the sister of the above said Muhammed Zinadrin Sahib Sayyed. She is the best and proper heir heir to the allowance now discontinued to sisters brother. She is quite willing to fulfil the conditions in performing the ceremonies on every Thursday and also yearly to the tomb of Peer Sudroo Gulam Ali's tomb and is ready to show proper account to the higher authorities whenever required for the actual amount spent on that account The petitioner is a poor and helpless woman.

4. The petitioner put in a petition with a genealogical tree to the Collector on 17th January 1894 to enquire about her claim to the allowance and the petition was referred to the Jahgirdar for report and the Jahgirdar fully enquired the case and reported the Collector that the petitioner is the proper heir to the allowance. Thereupon the Collector gave an endorse

ent to the petitioner to the effect that petitioner should address to the Mysore Chronicles. A copy of the endorsement with enclosed for perusal of the Collector of Kadur. may addressed upon, if necessary.

Under these circumstances the petitioner therefore most humbly requests that Honor will be graciously pleased perused the Collectors report and also genealogical tree submitted to the Collector and also have Fazindar abused allowances paid to her for and there after for her the said allowances on same conditions as before. The petitioner will on all obediance and the necessary ceremonies performed properly and regularly by her agent of her husband and will shew account for the account actually spent by her on that account whenever required. After this petition came for enquiry, the petitioners Mother Kuthumia Begum Mysore pension with the intention of deceiving the petitioner sold all her property worth Rs 3000 to her Son Mahammed Fazindar for Rs 1500. The petitioner brother Fazindar alleges in the document executed by him that he gave that this Rs 1500 includes Rs 900 arrears of Jathika for 3 years and ordered the deaths of

and also Rs 600 of his own.

When he himself admits that he had paid Rs 900 from Fathia allowances for buying this property. it is my evidence that he spent no money at all for purposes the ceremonies. The said document is registered on 14-4-94. and a copy herewith enclosed. I have petitioned to the chief secretary Nawabs office Residency department Bangalore on 5 occasions per dates. 17-1-94. 12-2-94. 27-2-94. 21-4-94 25-5-94. the petitioner is not favoured by any reply. I beg most earnestly your gracious honor that your honor will be graciously pleased to enquire after the matter & will favour me the allowance as I am suffering from want much in a forlorn and helpless condition.

Yours most obedient servant
Humble petitioner
O Mark of Janee Begam

Yours most obedient & humble servant
O Mark of Janee Begam

To address as follows.
Janee Begam C/O
Malik Mahomed Saiyed Khan Sahib
Attorney

True Copy

No. 2167 / Receipt of 94

Endorsement on petitions dated 19th January 1894, and 28th March 1894 respectively from Janee Begam a great granddaughter of the late Gulam Ali, a Mysore pensioner claiming that a moiety of allowance paid to her ancestor may be paid to her, as she is in a very poor Condition.

The allowance claimed by the petitioner is one that can be granted only by the Mysore Government and nothing can be done by the Collector. The petitioner should therefore address the Mysore authorities.

North Arcot
Collector's office
Camp Vellore
18th May 1894

(Signed) [illegible]
Ag. Collector

To Janee Begam
c/o Malik Mahomed Sayed
Dalik Arcot

To.

The Chief Secretary Mysore Dewan's
Office Residency department
~~The Translator of Persian Letters~~.
Bangalore

Sir,

I beg to submit herewith the Statement of ~~the~~ cost Rs the petition submitted by Jumy Begum.

One Meer Sirdar Goolam Ali Saheb was on receipt of a pension of 100 Rs from the Mysore Government which was granted to him in Recognition of the Good Service rendered by him to the said Government. That on his demise the said pension was apportioned amongst his two Sons: and a portion thereof viz 100 from the Share of the youngest of the two was paid to the Petitioner by Cheque for the performance of Religious ceremonies (Fatteha & allowance work is generally called) in connection with the death of Meer Goolam Ali Saheb. This allowance was obtained from this Government. Accordingly the Mysore Government sanctioned from time to time to the members of Meer's family residing at Arcot and your Schedule of Memorial the said Fatteha ceremonies. This was since 1823.

were founded to Zemindaree called the Pachiwara: Latter superseded with certain conditions regarding the upkeep of the said tanks, performance of the ceremonies appertaining thereto and maintenance of registers and accounts of the income and the expenses and the submission of these accounts to the Local Government officials for transmission to the Govt. When the said Zemindar failed to observe all or any of these conditions, the then Tahsildar of Arcot after due enquiry & proved against him was to allow one per cent. Now the petitioner as the last heir of Zemindar is entitled to this allowance. and the sum for more persons than one be allowed to have the said ceremonies performed by her husband or any one else. As a prerequisite for the grant of the said petition what an enquiry and a separate petition therefor has been addressed to the Govt. of any sort, which have to be sent to be referred to this office I refrain from submitting any comments upon it.

The pension of 1000 Rs was as above allowed to commuted into a consolidation the allowance and on the Recommendation of the Govt.

which I filed would disclose some allowance probably out of this large sum given Unto the descendants of Nawaub Ghoolam Ali Saheb, but the grant of it was here not complied only to those who were or are in indigent circumstances. and the amount was also regulated according to the degree of Relationship to Nawaub Ghoolam Ali Saheb and the comparative circumstances of the person or persons who applied for such allowance.

Similar pension was Given to Khakima Begumm on the death of her father Goolam Ali Moosey Raza Saheb Khurjeeii. Though the petitioner was then and been Seven years of age neither wilfully or accidentally to her knowledge was made of her. Khakima Begumm was well off and therefore in need of necessity for the grant of the allowance. She has however transferred all her properties to her Son to avoid passing any of the petitioner

Now that Jenny Begumm is aware of the fact that a pension or a compassionate allowance can be Given her, She petitioned to the Government.

In supporting her claims to enlist the sympathy of the Govt. of Bombay for her, She has to establish two things.

1. That She is the descend and of Nawaub Ghoolam Ali Saheb.
2. That She is to in indigent circumstances.

As for the first of them there is an overwhelming evidence. There is first of all the statement of Bennuri himself who admits that the petitioner is his sister and that she is the daughter of Khanum Begum, which entitles her to a compassionate allowance. The fact that she is descended from Sarvar Ali Saheb is undisputed and no further comment upon this issue is called for.

Then proceeding to the next issue, 4 witnesses were examined for the proof of the same. Of them three are independent witnesses, persons who are quite disinterested, and two of Swaminis and Respectability though the last of them is the petitioner's brother who is hostile to the petitioner.

The first witness Hafiz Syed Shah Mahmud Peeran Saheb Khenvi is the Head of the Muhammedan Community in Arot. He is like the Guru of the Khanoos. He deposed to the [illegible] Relationship like the petitioner bears towards Sarvar Ali. He also proved that she was poor and that her husband also does not live above the level of the [illegible]

These facts are also corroborated in every particular by the second witness Kasim Baba Saheb. This witness is the Superintendent of a mosque in Arcot known as Nuter Jenny Saheb Dargah. He is on receipt of a pension of Rs 30 a month from the Government. His correct character is not in any way been impeached by the counter-petitioner and it therefore stands to reason that the evidence of this person is as much credible as that of the first witness.

The third witness Hafeez Ali Hussein Saheb follows suit with the first two witnesses and gives them the same direct statement. He is a native doctor (Hakeem) at Arcot and enjoys much respect and respectability amongst the residents of the place.

Then the evidence of the 4th witness Byramjee is a total bolt shorn of vague statements. The order of Read this court and Judiciary bounds the petitioner In the face of the evidence of these witnesses, the evidence of this witness

Encl: an affidavit &c

Humbly to sheweth
unto the Justices Regarding the
Relationship and the Lunacy
Their circumstances Hence
it lies upon your honors to decide
whether the petition can claim
the Jurisdiction of this Court
Inasmuch your petitioner whose
here under Subscribed circumstances
helped by ancestors.

~~28th March 1894~~ 1st June 1894

Maith Mahomed Sayed Khan his
Sgd t/ Petitioner Jane Begum
Mot

20/7/94.
Pet No
625 6

References Submitted on June 7-94 with Pet No 107

(General Serial No. 30.)

189

From Jane Begum

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore

Dated 15th July 1894

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 3

General No.
No.

Requesting early orders on her petitions praying the continuance to her of the allowance now discontinued but formerly granted for performing the Fatteha ceremonies at the tomb of Meer Sudoor Ghulam Ali at Arcot.

P. J. O.

References to be marked.

M. G. C. Press, Bangalore.—3-2-94.—3000.

55

To,

The ~~Chief~~ under Secretary

Mysore Dewans Office

Residency dept

Bangalore

The Humble Petition of James Begum grand daughter of the deceased Ghulam Ali Moosai Raza Saheb Korishi the late Mysore Pensioner residing at Arcot

Most Respectfully sheweth:-

That no reply has yet been received to her second petition dated from your Court as regards the continuance of the allowance granted for performing the Fatiha ceremonies at the tomb of Meer Sudoor Ghulam Ali It is already known to your Court that the allowance was discontinued to the petitioners brother Muhammed Ziauddin Saheb Saeed on the ground of his failure to perform the necessary ceremonies at the tomb

Endorsement on petitions dated 19th January 1894, and 28th March 1894 respectively from Janee Begam a great grand daughter of the late Goolam Ali, a Mysore pensioner Claiming that a moiety of allowance paid to her ancestor may be paid to her, as she is in a very poor condition

---

The allowance claimed by the petitioner is one that can be granted only by the Mysore Government and nothing can be done by the Collector. The petitioner should therefore address the Mysore authorities.

North Arcot
Collector's office
Camp Vellore
18 May 1894

(Signed) G. F. T. Pounder
Ag Collector

To. Janee Begam C/o
Malik Mahomed Saiyd Sahib
Arcot

FOR COPIES ONLY 1550



Before the Tahsildar of Aroor Taluk

80 [illegible]

5 — 4 — 95

FOR COPIES ONLY

Before the Zahsildar of Arsikere

[illegible] 15-3-94.

6002 Springbrook Road

2-3-95

Dear Mrs.

FOR COPIES ONLY 2 ast Sheet 2



THOS DE LA RUE & CO LONDON

[illegible]

/ Signed / C V Ramachandra Rao
Executive Engineer
True Copy

[illegible]
Dy Collector

Copied by [illegible]
Compared by [illegible]
Copy applied 30. 3. 94
Papers produced 30. 5. 94
Copy ready — 31. 5. 94
Copy delivered 31. 5. 94
No of words 189



FOR COPIES ONLY



Before the Tahsildar of Arnee Taluk



FOR COPIES ONLY 2nd Class Stamp 2



[illegible] 24 [illegible] -2- [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

/Signed/ C V Ramakrishna Rao

Tahsildar

True Copy

[illegible]

Dy Collector

Copy applied by [illegible]

Copy applied by Brickmakers

Copy applied 30. 3. 94

Papers produced 30. 5. 94

Copy ready — 31. 5. 94

Copy delivered 31. 5. 94

Rs 7 words 198



(General Serial No. 3D.S.P. 94

1894.

From The Palace Controller
Mysore

To The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore
Bangalore

Dated 19th
Despatched do
Received September 94

Enclosures No. one

General No. 14.
No.

Has the honor to for-
ward herewith for
disposal a petition
received from one
Jaun Begum residing
at Arcot.

D. Stracadam
Palace Controller.

CB
Comptr

Rec'd 2nd April 1894

Petn of Goolam Ali Moosay Raza Saib Khurashi late Sepoy for pension & that Sirkar will grant him allowance for performing the necessary ceremonies for deceased brother's tomb

To

His Highness The Maharaja of Mysore.

The humble petition of Janee Begum Doan daughter of the deceased Goolam Ali Moosay Raza Saib Khurashi, late Mysore pensioner, and Sister of Mahomed Ziyaudin Sahib Saiyd Most respectfully Showeth —

That the petitioners' grand father Goolam Ali Moosay Razah Saib Khurashi was receiving during his life time a pension of Rs 61—9—0 (Rs 38—9—0 Subsistence allowance and Rs 23 Fatihe allowance for performing the necessary ceremonies for deceased Goolam Ali tomb), that after his death the petitioners' own brother Mahomed Ziyaudin Sahib Saiyd was granted the Fatiha allowance only, viz Rs 23 on the express condition that he should perform the necessary ceremonies to the above tomb and should shew account for the actual amount spent in every Thursday and also for every yearly ceremony. And the petitioners' brother Mahomed Ziyaudin Saiyd having neglected and failed to

ulfil the said Conditions properly, Jamadar
shildar of Attoor and also the village
uniff inspected the above tomb on one
hursday in 1893 last, and found that
o ceremony was performed at all and
nsequently reported the matter to the
llector of Nortw Arcot for discontinuance
f the allowance: The Collector agreeing
ith the Tahsildar's report, dis continued the
llowance

3 The petitioners brother Mahomed Ziguddin
hib Saiyd had thereupon put in a petition
nd a Mahazar to the Tahsildar and the
ollector respectively stating that he since been
erforming the necessary ceremonies and
equesting the Tahsildar to report to the Collect
in his favour. The Collector referred the Mahaz
, the Tahsildar for disposal. The Tahsildar
t would appear rejected both the petitioners
n the ground that a report was already
ubmitted to the Vizagapatam Government in this Cas

4 The petitioner is the grand daughter
f the above said Goolam Ali Moosaiy
eeza Sahib Khurashi and also the
ister of the above said Mahomed Ziguddin
ahib Saiyd; hence She is the best and
proper heir to the allowance now disallowed
to the petitioners brother. She is quite willing
to fulfil the Conditions in performing the
necessary ceremonies on every Thursday

and also guardian to the sons of Meer Tudor Gorlam Ali, and is ready to show proper account to the Higher authorities whenever required, for the actual amount spent on that account. The petitioner is a poor and helpless woman.

4 The petitioner put in a petition with a genealogical tree to the Collector on the 12th January 1894 to enquire about her claim to the allowance and the petition was referred to the Tahsildar for report and the Tahsildar fully enquired the case and reported to the Collector that the petitioner is the only proper heir to the allowance.

5 After the petitioner's petition came for enquiry before the Tahsildar, the petitioners mother Hathuma Begam by some fraudulent with the intention of deceiving the petitioner sold all her property worth not less than Rs 3000 to her son (petitioners' brother) for Rs 1500 and the latter had also executed as registered document to his mother. The petitioners' brother Mahomed Zeyaudin Sahib Sayed alleges in the above document executed by him, that the Rs 1500 include Rs 900 arrears of Fathiha allowance he received for 3 years and odd since the death of his grand father Gorlam Ali Moosay Raza Sahib Khuraishi, and also Rs 600 of his own. Here while he himself admits that he had paid the whole of Rs 900 received for Fathiha

allowance, for buying his Mothers property, it is very evident that he spent no money at all for performing the ceremonies for which only the whole money was given. This document was registered on 14-4-1894 and the petitioner is ready to submit a copy of it if Your honor So require.

6. Under these circumstances the petitioner most humbly and respectfully requests that Your honor will be graciously pleased to peruse the Collector's report and also the genealogical tree submitted therewith, and also kindly Consider how Mahomed Zeinuddin Sahib Sayed (petitioners' brother) abused the charitable allowance paid Solely for performing the ceremonies alone, which fact is evident from his own statement in the document executed by him, and also the poor and helpless state of the petitioner, and her willingness and readiness to perform the necessary ceremonies to the tomb, and thus Confer on her the Said allowance on the Same Conditions. The poor petitioner will in all obedience have the necessary ceremonies performed properly and regularly by her agents, viz, her husband and will Shew account for the amount actually spent by her on that account whenever required. For which Act of kindness and charity the poor petitioner will as in duty bound prayes

O. Janee Begum

Janee Begum

21-9-94
Pol Dept
47

3827
1 SEP 94

From
The Palace Controller
Jeypore

To
The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Jeypore
Bangalore

Dated
Despd 19th September

No 14
Encls 1

Forwards herewith for disposal a petition of Jaun Begum of Arcot-

In Reply to
Peta Jani Begum
is informed that
the Jeypore Govt
have decided
to interfere at present
with the Sanctioned
of the Govt of
the Partys allowance
to the exclusion of Govt
in favour of Mahd
Jeypore Mahd Saheb
Syud -

1.6.95

No. 27

A.A.T. 11 of 93

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

P. & A. ~~PETITION~~ DEPARTMENT,

Bangalore 1st June 1895

Endorsement granted to

in reply to her Petition Registered No. 69, 106, 3392, 118, 6449 dated 79, 92, 2929 1894

Jani Begum grand daughter of the Gulam ali Mossaniya Saheb at Arcot.

Petitioner is informed that the Mysore Government have decided after due enquiry not to interfere with the continuance of the grant of the Fatya allowance to Meer Sudoor Gulam Ali's Tomb, in favor of Mohomed Jiya-ud-in Saheb Syed.

"By Order"

Draft

Under ~~Chief~~ Secretary.

M G.C.P. Bangalore—6-3-94.—2000.